

109

Shri Saroj Kumar Jha
Advocate

17 Ganga Court

Group C

UP No 25052-2025

Distt. Court

Self Finance College, Bedhatta and Affl

UP Group and others

Dated 9.9.2025



108



Warning :- Don't tamper with the barcode.
Embossing to be done below this line on the last page

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD


Embossing to be done above this line on the last page
This Authenticated Copy is invalid without this page



Computerized Copying Section, High Court of Judicature at Allahabad

Requisition Information								
Folio No.	Application Date	Case Type	Case No.	Year	Case filed at	Date of Judgment/Order	Court Fee	No. of Pages
227147 of 2025	16.9.2025	WRIC	25052	2025	Allahabad	9.9.2025	15.0	2

Printed/Prepared by	Authenticated by	Date of Issuance
Signature :- Name :- Prachi Designation :- Review Officer Employee No. :- E11820 Date of Printing:- 16.9.2025	Signature :- A. K. PATEL Name :- Designation :- Section Officer Employee No. :- 7036 Authenticated Copy ready on:-	

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD****WRIT - C No. - 25052 of 2025**

Self Finance College Fedration And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 8 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Saroj Kumar Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s) : Avneesh Tripathi, C.S.C., Vaibhav Tripathi

Court No. - 32**HON'BLE CHANDRA DHARI SINGH, J.**

1. After arguing at some length, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, without entering into merits of the case, made an innocuous prayer that the petitioners may be permitted to represent their case before the respondent no. 6/Chaudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut and the respondent no. 6 may be directed to decide the said representation in accordance with law in time bound manner.

2. Learned counsel on behalf of respondents vehemently opposed the petition on merits, but has not opposed the innocuous prayer as made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the State respondents no. 1 to 3, Sri Vaibhav Tripathi, learned counsel for the respondent no. 4 and Sri Avneesh Tripathi, learned counsel for respondent nos. 5, 6, 7 and 9 and perused the contents made in the writ petition and the documents on record.

4. Taking into consideration of the submissions made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and no objection made on behalf of learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents, I am of the view that the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners may be allowed.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to approach the respondent no.6 by way of filing a detailed representation raising all the grounds which have been taken by him here in this writ petition within

one week from the date of production of certified copy of this order. After receiving the said representation, the respondent no. 6 is directed to pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law on the said representation, after taking into consideration of counselling which is going on and after verifying the teachers, staff and all other facilities as required, expeditiously preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receiving of the said representation.

6. With the aforesaid observations/directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Chandra Dhari Singh, J.)

September 9, 2025
Pratima

AUTHENTICATED COPY
Arjay
16/09/25
SECTION OFFICER / AR
COMPUTERISED COPYING SECTION
HIGH COURT ALLAHABAD

UNIDENTIFIED COPY
SECTION ORDER
COMPUTERED COPYING SECTION
WITH COURT AFFIDAVIT

